

GSP Implementation Budget Discussion

Grower Advisory Committee – June 26, 2025



GSP IMPLEMENTATION IS NOT

COVERED BY OUR EXISTING PROP 218 BUDGET



- Well Mitigation Program
- Data Gaps
 - New Monitoring Wells
 - Additional Subsidence Benchmarks
 - Infrastructure Monitoring
 - Agreements City, Caltrans, LNAS, Canal Companies
- Water Quality
- Water Accounting
- Projects
 - CEQA for ASR
 - Fallowing Program
 - Recharge Basins
 - City efforts for usage Reductions



Well Mitigation Program

41 domestic wells projected to be dry at Minimum Thresholds Assume this happens over a 3 year drought period

14 dry wells per year

\$ 5,000 - Investigation into Dry Well – to determine if GSA is responsible + Admin
\$10,000 - Temporary Water Tank + pump install + Water Deliveries + Bottled Water
\$45,000 - Cost of Replacement Well - Electrical, Plumbing, Drilling, Pump, Permit, Etc.
\$60,000 - Total Cost of Well Replacement

14 Wells x \$60,000 = \$840,000 per year



Data Gaps

New Monitoring Wells 3A, 2B, 2C	\$100,000
Additional Subsidence Benchmarks	\$ 10,000
Infrastructure Monitoring	\$ 2,000
Agreements – City, Caltrans, LNAS, Canal Co.	\$ 10,000
	Total \$ 122,000

Water Quality		?
Water Accounting		
Billing/Accounting	\$25,000	
Investigations/Appeals	\$10,000	
Outreach / Assistance	\$40,000	
Land IQ/MLJ	\$97,560	
	Total	\$ 172,560



Well Mitigation Program Data Gaps Water Quality - ? Water Accounting Projects -? \$840,000 \$122,000 \$0 \$172,560 \$0

Total \$1,134,560



Funding Options –

Prop 218 Land Based Assessment

- Existing 218 \$9.80 x 65,756 Acres = \$644,408
- Added Need to Fund GSP Implementation = \$1,134,560
- New Assessment would be \$27.05 per Acre

Pros

- Stable revenue in Wet vs Dry Years
- Less overhead for Admin and Accounting

Cons

- All landowners pay regardless of Pumping
- Does not create incentive to reduce groundwater usage



Funding Options –

- Pumping Fees on Groundwater Extractions
 - Charge only for Penalty Water?
 - Wet Years may produce Zero revenue
 - High penalty Rate to offset variation
 - Charge for All Water Extracted from the Ground
 - Revenue produced in every year
 - Different Rates for Penalty vs Sustainable Yield



		Wet Year			Dry Year		
	AF allowed	Assumed Pumping	GSA Charges	Total	Assumed Pumping	GSA Charges	Total
Native Yield	0.66	16,000	\$10	\$160,000	40,000	\$10	\$400,000
Tier 1 B Zone	2.00	0	\$50	\$0	23,400	\$50	\$1,170,000
Tier 1 C Zone	1.34	0	\$50	\$0	13,400	\$50	\$670,000
Tier 2	0	0	\$500	\$0		\$500	\$0
A-Zone	3	16,000	\$30	\$480,000	13,200	\$30	\$396,000
Total Pumping		32,000		\$640,000	90,000		\$2,636,000